Appl. No. 09/936,888 Amdt. dated August 16, 2010 Amendment under 37 CFR 1.116 Expedited Procedure Examining Group 1649

REMARKS

I. Status of the Claims

Upon entry of the present amendment, claim 16 is canceled. Claims 1, 3-15, 17-21, 34, and 35 remain pending.

II. Sequence Listing

The Examiner has objected to the formal sequence listing provided with Applicants' last response for not being accompanied with a statement that the contents of the paper and computer readable versions are the same. In response, Applicants hereby state that the amendment relating to sequence listing submitted on May 18, 2010, is in adherence with 37 C.F.R. §§1.821 to 1.825. This amendment was accompanied by a computer readable form containing SEQ ID NOs:1-26 and a PDF/paper copy of the sequence information, which had been printed from the computer readable form. The information contained in the computer readable form was prepared through the use of the software program "PatentIn" and is identical to that of the PDF/paper copy. The amendment relating to sequence listing introduced no new matter.

III. Claim Rejections

A. 35 U.S.C. §112, First Paragraph

Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph, for alleged lack of enablement. In particular, the Examiner contends that the specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to practice the claimed method by administering a nucleic acid encoding the ADNF polypeptides. Although not agreeing with the Examiner, Applicants have canceled claim 16 for the sole purpose of expediting prosecution. The enablement rejection is therefore moot.

B. Double Patenting

Claims 1, 3-15, 17-21, 34, and 35 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness type of double patenting for allegedly being unpatentable over claims 6-

Appl. No. 09/936,888 Amdt. dated August 16, 2010 Amendment under 37 CFR 1.116 Expedited Procedure Examining Group 1649

10 of U.S. Patent No. 6,174,862. Although not agreeing with the Examiner, Applicants have submitted with this response a terminal disclaimer disclaiming the patent term beyond that of U.S. Patent No. 6, 174,862, again for the sole purpose of expediting prosecution. The double patenting rejection is therefore moot.

CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing, Applicants believe all claims now pending in this Application are in condition for allowance and an action to that end is respectfully requested.

If the Examiner believes a telephone conference would expedite prosecution of this application, please telephone the undersigned at 415-576-0200.

Respectfully submitted,

/Chuan Gao/

Chuan Gao Reg. No. 54,111

TOWNSEND and TOWNSEND and CREW LLP Two Embarcadero Center, Eighth Floor San Francisco, California 94111-3834 Tel: 415-576-0200 Fax: 415-576-0300

C2G:c2g 62822320 v1